

EXECUTRIX NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that Letters Testamentary, upon the estate of Barrant VanNest, deceased, have been granted to the undersigned, by the Probate Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, bearing date of the 21st day of November, 1921.

All persons having claims against said estate are required to exhibit them to me for allowance, within six months from date of said letters, or they may be precluded from any benefit of such estate; and if said claims be not exhibited within one year from the date of the publication of this notice, they will be forever barred.

MARY R. VANNEST,

(Seal) Executrix.

Attested:
Fred M. Wanger, Clerk of Probate.
(1126)

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

In the Circuit Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, to the January, 1922, Term.

First Reformed Church of St. Joseph, Missouri, a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.
The Home Missions of the Reformed Church in the United States, and The Board of Home Missions of the Reformed Church in the United States, Peter S. Ransom, Joseph B. Jennings, Flora A. Jennings, Thomas Harbison, and the unknown donees, heirs, devisees, immediate, remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of The Home Missions of the Reformed Church in the United States, Defendants

Now, on this 10th day of November, 1921, comes the plaintiff, by its attorneys, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the above named court, by allegation in plaintiff's petition, that the defendants, The Home Missions of the Reformed Church in the United States, Peter S. Ransom, Joseph B. Jennings, Flora A. Jennings, Thomas Harbison, and the unknown donees, heirs, devisees, immediate, remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of The Home Missions of the Reformed Church in the United States, do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the court, by allegation in said petition, duly verified by the agent of the plaintiff, that there are persons interested in, or who claim to be interested in, the subject matter of this petition, and in the real estate therein and herein described as lots one and two, in block ten, in St. Joseph Improvement, are non-residents of the state of Missouri, and do not reside therein, and cannot be served with the ordinary process of law in the state of Missouri;

HOW THEY SAVED THE TAXPAYERS 300 MILLION

(Continued from Page One)

incomes of less than \$10,000 a year the reductions were still more insignificant.

On incomes of \$20,000 a year the committee proposed a reduction in the tax amounting to \$250. That, also, is not a great reduction.

On an income of \$100,000 a year, however, the committee proposed to reduce the tax \$2,730. Now we begin to see the reduction cut some important figure for the relief of men enjoying large incomes.

On an income of \$150,000 a year the committee proposed to reduce the tax \$2,730. Here again we note a rapid progression in the relief afforded to men of large incomes.

Reaching an income of \$200,000 a year the finance committee proposed to the Senate a reduction of \$24,370. That is to say, a man enjoying an income of \$200,000 a year was to be given relief to the extent of \$24,370 in the tax that he had been heretofore paying.

When we reach a man with an income of \$300,000 a year we find that the committee asked the Senate to reduce his taxes to the extent of \$52,730 a year.

The Half Million Man
When we reach the man with an income of half a million dollars a year we find that the head of the finance committee and the Republican managers of the Senate proposed to reduce his taxes \$114,730 a year.

When we reach the citizen who has an income of a million dollars a year we find that it was proposed to give him relief from taxation to the extent of \$274,730.

I am not going further than the man with an income of \$5,000,000 a year. There are a good many men who have larger incomes than \$5,000,000, but in the case of every man having an income of \$5,000,000 a year

ADMINISTRATRIX NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that Letters of Administration, upon the estate of Eliza E. Scott, deceased, have been granted to the undersigned, by the Probate Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, bearing date of the 5th day of April, 1921.

All persons having claims against said estate are required to exhibit them to me for allowance, within six months from date of said letters, or they may be precluded from any benefit of such estate; and if said claims be not exhibited within one year from the date of the publication of this notice, they will be forever barred.

JESSIE B. SPARKS,
(Seal) Administratrix.

Attested:
Fred M. Wanger, Clerk of Probate.
(1210)

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that Letters of Administration, upon the estate of George E. Gray, deceased, have been granted to the undersigned, by the Probate Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, bearing date of the 21st day of March, 1921.

All persons having claims against said estate are required to exhibit them to me for allowance, within six months from date of said letters, or they may be precluded from any benefit of such estate; and if said claims be not exhibited within one year from the date of the publication of this notice, they will be forever barred.

HUGH M. GRAY,
(Seal) Administrator.

Attested:
Fred M. Wanger, Clerk of Probate.
(1210)

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

State of Missouri, County of Buchanan, ss.

In the Probate Court for the County of Buchanan and State of Missouri, December Term, 1921.

On the matter of the estate of Mattie Nelson Greene, deceased.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

Comes now Du Val Smith, Administrator with Will annexed of the estate of Mattie Nelson Greene, deceased, and presents to the Court his petition, praying for an order for the sale of so much of the real estate of said deceased as will pay and satisfy the remaining debts due by said estate, and yet unpaid for want of sufficient assets, accompanied by the accounts and inventories required by law.

And it is ordered, on examination thereof, that it be ordered that all persons interested in the estate of said deceased be notified that application as aforesaid has been made, and that unless the contrary be shown on or before the first day of the next term of this Court, to be held on the first day of March next, an order will be made for the sale of the whole, or so much of the real estate of said deceased as will be sufficient for the payment of said debts; and it is further ordered that this notice be published in some newspaper published in this County for four weeks before the next term of this Court, or State of Missouri, County of Buchanan, ss.

I, Fred M. Wanger, Clerk of the Probate Court here in and for said County, hereby certify that the above is a true copy of the original Order of Publication therein referred to, as the same appears of record in my office.

Witness my hand as Clerk and the seal of our said Court, done at my office in St. Joseph, in said County, this 5th day of December, A. D. 1921.

(Seal) FRED M. WANGER,
Clerk of Probate.

GUARDIAN'S NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that F. P. Howell was adjudged insane by the Probate Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, that the undersigned Mary A. Williams has been duly appointed guardian of said F. P. Howell, by said Court on the 20th day of June, 1921.

All persons having claims against said estate are required to exhibit them to the Probate Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, for allowance within one year from the date of the publication of this notice, or they will be forever barred.

MARY A. WILLIAMS,
(Seal) Guardian.

Attested:
Fred M. Wanger, Clerk of Probate.
(1212)

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that Letters of Administration, upon the estate of Frank Kelley, deceased, have been granted to the undersigned, by the Probate Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, bearing date of the 30th day of November, 1921.

All persons having claims against said estate are required to exhibit them to me for allowance, within six months from date of said letters, or they may be precluded from any benefit of such estate; and if said claims be not exhibited within one year from the date of the publication of this notice, they will be forever barred.

L. L. CHAPPELLE,
(Seal) Administrator.

Attested:
Fred M. Wanger, Clerk of Probate.
(1213)

this committee, incontinently carrying out the pledge of tax reduction for the country, proposed to reduce the taxes of that man with an income of \$5,000,000 a year to the extent of \$1,594,730 a year.

That is what the committee proposed, and that is what would have been accomplished in the Senate had it not been for the determined fight made by Democratic senators upon this side of the aisle, assisted as it was by a number of Republicans upon the other side of the aisle who felt that it would be an outrage upon the country to perform a pledge of tax reduction by giving the relief almost entirely to men enjoying the greatest incomes of the country.

What Democrats and Progressives Did
In contrast to that, let me show what was actually done here in the Senate as a result of this co-operation between the solid Democratic vote of the Senate and the small number of Republicans on the other side of the aisle who voted with us to bring about greater equality and reduce the proposed favoritism.

On the income of the man having \$10,000 a year, the result of the Senate debate was to leave the reduction as it had been placed by the committee at \$70.

On the income of the man having \$20,000 a year, the result of the struggle in the Senate was to make his reduction \$240, instead of, as had been proposed, \$250.

On the income of the man having \$100,000 a year, the result of the struggle here in the Senate was to defeat the proposal of the committee to give him a reduction of \$2,730, and he was given a reduction of \$1,340.

On the income of the man reaching \$150,000 a year, the result of the struggle here in the Senate was to give him a reduction of \$4,040, instead of, as proposed by the committee, \$12,730.

On the income of a man amounting to \$200,000 a year, the result of the struggle here in the Senate, was to give him a reduction in taxes of \$14,040 instead of \$24,370.

On the income of a man amounting to \$300,000 a year, the result of the struggle here in the Senate, was to give him a reduction of \$40,000 in taxes instead of a reduction of \$114,730, as proposed by the finance committee.

On the income of a man amounting to \$1,000,000 a year, the result of the debate and struggle here in the Senate, was to give him a reduction of \$110,000 in his taxes instead of, as proposed by the committee, \$274,730.

On the income of a man amounting to \$5,000,000 a year, whereas the committee had proposed to give him an abatement of his taxes amounting to \$1,594,730, the result of the struggle here in the Senate, was that his reduction was made \$710,000 a year.

In other words, the result of that struggle here in the Senate was practically to cut in half the proposed reductions which had been given on the taxes of great incomes, and to save that much at least to the government from those who could well afford to pay what they have been heretofore paying.

That Marion Election

Senator Pat Harrison, one of the most brilliant and the best-natured men in Congress, could not resist commenting on the late election in Marion, made famous by burnt cork, gum and Col. Harvey. He said:

"A little while ago there was an election in New Mexico and next day we heard much talk about it; but we have not heard Republicans saying anything about the election the other day. Even the city of Marion, that is famed now throughout the world for having during the last presidential campaign entertained Al Johnson, the black-face comedian, and other actors and great baseball players, whose expenses were paid by Mr. Wrigley, the chewing-gum man, who contributed liberally to the Republican campaign fund and offered to the Republican campaign committee last year all the electric signs in all the cities to be transformed from 'Wrigley's' to 'Harding and Coolidge,' and whose services the finance committee rewarded so liberally in the consideration of the tax bill by taking off the tax on chewing gum, which action was sanctioned and approved by a majority in this chamber—I say that the city of Marion, which was made famous by Al Johnson and Wrigley and George Harvey, who sat around the front porch advising and counseling and who since has disgraced America, and which was made famous also by being the home of the President of the United States, the other day turned out a Republican and went Democratic by a big vote. We did not hear anything about that from the other side."

Lloyd George Is So Subtle
The instant ability to produce a new proposal every time an old one is rejected probably is one of the things that have given Mr. Lloyd George his reputation for forehandness.—Kansas City Times.

LATE ORDERS OF THE POSTMASTER GENERAL

MISSING RELATIVES MAY NOW BE LOCATED THROUGH THE POST OFFICE

A HUMANE SERVICE TO BE PERFORMED

The Parcel Post Weight Has Been Increased to Austria, the New Order on Disposition Stating With Mexico Is Now in Effect and a Number of Other New Regulations of Much Interest to the Mail Using Public Are Here Given For the Information and Guidance of All Concerned.

Orders of the postmaster general relative to the regulation of the mails:

"To all postmasters: It is my wish that the machinery of the postal service be placed at the disposal of those searching for loved ones who have dropped from sight. Every year thousands in this country are reported missing; children, young women, husbands and wives, some never to be heard of again by their distressed families and friends. The post office department with its organized facilities reaching into every corner of the land may be of practical service to the distressed. Therefore, they are willingly offered for this purpose. There is no intention of interference with private affairs or to act as a collection agency. Postmasters will scrutinize and investigate carefully each case reported to them, and when convinced of its genuineness will make such inquiries of other postmasters as the circumstances may warrant and advise the interested relative or friend."

Non-Postage Stamps
Attention is invited to section 45, on page 127 of the Postal Guide for July, 1921, from which it will be seen that the foreign countries and colonies therein mentioned refuse to admit to their mails articles bearing non-postage stamps unless the non-postage stamps are affixed to the back of the covers, and that articles for said countries which do not comply with the conditions stated in said section 45 will not be dispatched from this country, but will be returned to the sender if known, or sent to the Division of Dead Letters if the sender is not known.

Coffee to Italy
The Italian postal administration has informed this office that coffee may now be imported into Italy in the parcel-post mails from the United States.

Parcel Post With Austria Increased
The maximum weight limit of parcel-post packages exchanged with Austria is increased from 11 pounds (5 kilograms) to 22 pounds (10 kilograms), the transit charge on parcels exceeding 11 pounds in weight being 38 cents for any weight up to 22 pounds, in addition to the postage charge of 12 cents a pound or a fraction of a pound.

Articles to Tuganvika Territory
Letter mails, dutiable articles: Dutiable articles received in the regular mails are liable to confiscation. Coins, articles of gold and silver, precious stones and jewelry, cotton seed, firearms, including revolvers and guns and the detached pieces of guns.

Parcel Post Packages for Mexico
Effective December 1, 1921, senders of parcel post packages mailed in the United States, addressed for delivery in Mexico, will have an opportunity to state the disposition that is to be made of parcels which are undeliverable in that service by stating on a poster attached to the parcel as follows:

(a) "If not deliverable as addressed, abandon."

(b) "If not deliverable as addressed, deliver to —"

Parcels which are not so marked will be returned at the sender's expense.

Parcels will be held for 15 days in Mexico before being returned to the sender or abandoned.

Treatment of Parcels for Argentina
In order to avoid inconvenience and unnecessary expense to the sender for storage and statistical charges on un-

deliverable parcels from the United States for Argentina, this department and the postal administration of Argentina have agreed upon the following arrangement, which will become effective January 1, 1922:

The sender of each parcel for Argentina is required to make the cover either "If undeliverable, abandon," or "If undeliverable, return at sender's expense," which indications will be entered on the parcel bills prepared by the dispatching exchange office of New York as evidence of the wishes of the sender.

Parcels, the senders of which indicate that their return is desired, after remaining unclaimed by the addressee for 60 days, will be exempt from payment of storage charges, a statistical charge to be levied where the value of the contents of a package is declared, those parcels without value being exempt from statistical charge. The statistical charge is fixed at 75 cents, sealed or stamped gold (75 cents in United States currency), provided the undeliverable parcel is unquestionably returned within three months of its receipt at destination.

Parcels marked "If undeliverable, abandon," will be turned over to Argentine customs officials to be sold at auction to defray the expense of handling.

Since the postal administration of Argentina has advised this department that parcels not marked to indicate that their return after 60 days is desired will be treated as abandoned, the postmaster at New York has been authorized to return to the office of mailing all parcels not marked to indicate whether they are to be "abandoned" or "returned at sender's expense, if undeliverable."

Articles Prohibited to Norway
Goods, the sale of which forms part of the drugists' monopoly, except those destined for manufacturers or workmen, directors of scientific institutes and laboratories, persons authorized to trade in the goods, persons who have obtained a special authorization for that purpose.

Spiny current bushes and fresh green current bushes.

There are besides prohibited importation the crude parts of ruminants and swine (dried or salted hides not included), unwashed hair and bristle, prepared or purified, when they were not disinfected in advance, also the snouts and hoofs, meat and lard not salted or prepared and also unrendered tallow, except, however, the importations destined for the ports of the department of Finnmark situated upon the Glacial Arctic Sea and upon the White Sea.

Grass, hay and straw in quality of forage can only be imported from Sweden, Denmark, and concerning the department of Finnmark from the Russian ports of the Glacial Arctic Sea and the White Sea.

The ministry of justice has the right to prohibit the importation of remedies, the composition of which is kept secret or of which the price is not in relation with the declared contents.

Letters sent under or open envelopes which are supposed to contain drugs are remitted to the customs immediately upon their arrival, and a notice is sent at once by mail to the addressee to invite them to come and call for these articles at the customs office.

There are prohibited until further notice by special authorization: Alcoholic perfumes and essences;